



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,920	07/31/2001	Satoshi Kondo	60188-520	5216
20277	7590	06/08/2009	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			JONES, HEATHER RAE	
600 13TH STREET, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/830,920	KONDO, SATOSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	HEATHER R. JONES	2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HEATHER R. JONES. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Eric Shelton (Req. No. 57,630). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Magee et al. (U.S. Patent 5,835,493).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agrees that Magee et al. fails to disclose the limitation "wherein in the packet multiplexing step, time of receipt of a TS packet including a head byte of a PES packet in the third TS packet string is made to match the first time of receipt, and time of receipt of a TS packet of the second TS packet string is made to match the second time of receipt". No further actions are required by the Applicant and a new office action will be issued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621
--	---